

Attorney Docket: 85917.000307

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Schlegel Systems, Inc. : Examining Attorney: Arti R. Singh  
Serial No.: 09/822,113 : Art Unit: 1771  
Filed: March 30, 2001 :  
For: FLAME RETARDANT :  
CORROSIVE RESISTANT :  
CONDUCTIVE FABRIC :  
ARTICLE AND METHOD :

RECEIVED  
JAN 09 2003  
TC 1700

Box NON-FEE AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT


Dear Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed December 19, 2002, Applicant elects Claims 1 through 17 with traverse. The Examiner has taken the position that the fabric described in Claims 1 through 17 could be made by different method from that described in Claims 18 through 20. The Examiner suggests that the flame-retardant coating in the metalized layer could all be co-extruded simultaneously.

Respectfully, while the Examiner's position appears plausible, closer consideration of the claims reveals that it is not. The Examiner has not pointed to any evidence that a fabric, flame-retardant coating and a conductive metal can be co-extruded at all. The materials are very different, have very different properties and it is unlikely that simultaneous co-extrusion of such different materials is even possible.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Stephen B. Salai, Esq.  
Reg. No. 26,990  
Attorney for Applicant

Dated: Jan 3, 2003

HARTER, SECREST & EMERY LLP  
1600 Bausch & Lomb Place  
Rochester, New York 14604-2711  
Tel: (585) 232-6500  
Fax: (585) 232-2152

